

The ballot shown is for CD1, LD4, Scottsdale City, Scottsdale Schools. Variations for ballots from other LD's, Towns and Schools are shown in the margins.

FRONT (pg1)

Left Column Ballot Variations

Your ballot may vary based on the specific items you need to vote on.

US Rep in Congress, CD8
Abraham "Abe" Hamadeh

AZ Legislative District 2

State Senator
Shawna Bolick

State Representative
Justin Wilmeth
Paul Carver

AZ Legislative District 3

State Senator
John Kavanagh

State Representative
George Khalaf
Cody Reim

AZ Legislative District 8

State Senator
Bill Loughrige

State Representative
Donald Hawker

CS Golden Ticket

Scan the QR Code to get the CS Golden Ticket



OFFICIAL BALLOT

ELECTION TYPE: GENERAL ELECTION
ELECTION DATE: NOVEMBER 3, 2026
COUNTY OF MARICOPA, STATE OF ARIZONA

TO VOTE: COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL(S) NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE(S), AS SHOWN
DOE, JANE

TO VOTE FOR A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE: WRITE THE NAME ATOP OF THE SHADED TEXT AND COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL, AS SHOWN.
John Doe

Refer to full text of propositions and questions posted in the polling place and provided with all mailed early ballots.

SECTION ONE PARTISAN BALLOT

FEDERAL

U.S. REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS DIST. 1
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

SHAH, AMISH (DEM)

FEELY IV, THOMAS "JAY" (REP)

ALPONTE, MONICA (LBT)

Write-In Candidate

STATE

GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

HOBBS, KATIE (DEM)

GILES, JOHN (PND)

BIGGS, ANDY (REP)

KERR, SINE (REP)

LOMBARDO, RISA (GRN)

CASTILLO, LISA (GRN)

HOURIHAN, TERI ANN (NOL)

HARROLLE MOHAN, ANGELA (PND)

Write-In Candidate

STATE SENATOR DIST. 4
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

LIEBERMAN, AARON (DEM)

WERNER, CARINE (REP)

Write-In Candidate

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DIST. 4
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

GRESHAM, KAREN (DEM)

CAPUTI, TAMMY (DEM)

GRESS, MATT (REP)

CARTER, PAMELA (REP)

Write-In Candidate

Write-In Candidate

SECRETARY OF STATE
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

FONTES, ADRIAN (DEM)

KOLODIN, ALEXANDER (REP)

COLLIER, DUWAYNE (GRN)

Write-In Candidate

ATTORNEY GENERAL
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

MAYES, KRIS (DEM)

PETERSEN, WARREN (REP)

Write-In Candidate

STATE TREASURER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

MANSOUR, NICK (DEM)

NORTON, ELIJAH (REP)

Write-In Candidate

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

RUIZ, TERESA LEYBA (DEM)

YEE, KIMBERLY (REP)

Write-In Candidate

STATE MINE INSPECTOR
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

MATLOCK, BRIAN (DEM)

PRESMYK, WALTER "LES" (REP)

Write-In Candidate

CORPORATION COMMISSIONER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

HILL, JONATHON (DEM)

PRATTE, CLARA (DEM)

HEAP, RALPH (REP)

THOMPSON, KEVIN (REP)

CEASE, MIKE (GRN)

Write-In Candidate

Write-In Candidate

COUNTY

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

MATTA, SEBASTIAN SEB MAXIMILIANO (DEM)

DIAL, JEFF (REP)

Write-In Candidate

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

REAGAN, MICHELE (REP)

Write-In Candidate

CONSTABLE MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

DIAZ, DAN (REP)

Write-In Candidate

SECTION TWO NONPARTISAN BALLOT

SCOTTSDALE USD NO. 48

SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

JOHNSON, JENNIFER M

BEASLEY, JEANNE

CARNEY, AMY L.

Write-In Candidate

Write-In Candidate

QUESTION 1

A "YES" vote shall authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to issue and sell \$375,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A "NO" vote shall not authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

BOND APPROVAL, YES

BOND APPROVAL, NO

QUESTION 2

A "YES" vote shall authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to sell, lease or exchange all or part of the described parcels of real property and use all or a portion of any such proceeds for any permitted capital expenditure.

A "NO" vote shall not authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to sell, lease or exchange all or part of the described parcels of real property.

SALE, LEASE OR EXCHANGE OF REAL PROPERTY, YES

SALE, LEASE OR EXCHANGE OF REAL PROPERTY, NO

MARICOPA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

BOARD MEMBER AT-LARGE
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)

CROW, JOHN DAVID

SCHWIEBERT, JUDY

Write-In Candidate

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

COUNCILMEMBER
(VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)

ZUBIA, RAOUL

GRAHAM, BARRY

UGENTI-RITA, MICHELLE

Write-In Candidate

Write-In Candidate

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall the following Justice of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

Retain: LOPEZ IV, JOHN

YES

NO

JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

Shall the following Judges of the Court of Appeals, Division 1 be retained in office?

Retain: Division 1 CATLETT, MICHAEL

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 HILL FOSTER, ANNI

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 HOWE, RANDALL

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 JACOBS, ANDREW

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 KILEY, DANIEL

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 MORSE JR., JAMES

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 PERKINS, JENNIFER

YES

NO

Retain: Division 1 WEINZWEIG, DAVID

YES

NO

JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?

Retain: Division 17 ASTROWSKY, BRAD

YES

NO

Retain: Division 37 BLAIR, MICHAEL C.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 53 BLANCHARD, JOHN

YES

NO

Retain: Division 54 BROOKS, ROBERT IAN

YES

NO

Retain: Division 76 CAIRO, SUNITA A.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 78 CARSON, MICHELLE

YES

NO

Retain: Division 35 COMO, GREGORY S.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 15 COOPER, KATHERINE (KAY) MARGARET

YES

NO

Retain: Division 60 COVIL, MAX-HENRI

YES

NO

Retain: Division 36 CULBERTSON, KRISTIN R.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 55 DAVIS, MARVIN L.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 61 EDELSTEIN, MONICA N.B.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 25 FISH, GEOFFREY H

YES

NO

Retain: Division 29 FOX, DEWAIN

YES

NO

Retain: Division 75 FRANCIS, JILLIAN

YES

NO

Retain: Division 82 GILLA, MARISCHA

YES

NO

Retain: Division 28 GREEN, JENNIFER E.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 86 GUYTON, LAUREN R.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 79 HARMON, MELODY G.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 14 HERROD, MICHAEL J.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 74 JACKSON, CHARLENE D

YES

NO

Retain: Division 50 JULIAN, MELISSA I.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 80 KALMAN, AMY MICHELLE

YES

NO

Retain: Division 51 KIEFER, JOSEPH

YES

NO

Retain: Division 32 KORBIN STEINER, RONEE

YES

NO

Retain: Division 03 KREAMER, JOSEPH C

YES

NO

Retain: Division 33 LEMAIRE, KERSTIN

YES

NO

Retain: Division 05 MARTIN, DANIEL G.

YES

NO

Retain: Division 59 MATA, JULIE

YES

NO

Retain: Division 81 MCCARTHY, STEVEN WARREN

YES

NO

Retain: Division 26 MOSKOWITZ, FRANK WILLIAM

YES

NO

Retain: Division 06 MYERS, SAM J.

YES

NO

Center Column Ballot Variations

Your ballot may vary based on the specific items you need to vote on.

City Councils

Scottsdale

Vote for Both { Barry Graham
Michelle Ugenti-Rita

Phoenix

D2 - Matt Evans
D4 - Zach Lauer
D6 - Michael Del Prete
D8 - Frank Abascano

Carefree

Ted Taylor

Maricopa County

Community College

At Large: John Crow
D3: Susan Winder

Special HC District 3

Kelly Barr

McDowell Mountain

JoP: Michelle Reagan
Constable: Dan Diaz

North Valley

JoP: DesaRae Tucker
Constable: Mike Rowe

Rio Verde Fire District

Nancy Sewell

School Districts

Scottsdale USD

Vote for Both { Amy Carney
Jeanne Beasley

Cave Creek USD

Vote only for Scott { Scott Brown

Paradise Valley USD

Do Not Vote X
No conservative running

Deer Valley USD

Vote for Both { Paul Carver
Kristi Jo Cooper

Ballot Measures

Scottsdale USD

Q1: \$375M Bond NO
Q2: School Site Sale YES

Fountain Hills USD

Q: Renew Override YES

Paradise Valley USD

Q1: Renew Override NO
Q2: Added Override NO

Paradise Valley Town

Prop 426 - Raise Limit NO
Prop 427 - Capital Fund YES

Cave Creek USD

Q: School Site Sale YES

Cave Creek Town

Prop 440 - Buy Land YES

Judges

Vote YES on all judges.

Your ballot layout may vary based on the specific items you need to vote on.



The sample ballot shown is for CD1, LD4, Scottsdale City, Scottsdale Schools. Variations for ballots from other LD's, Towns and Schools are shown in the margins.

BACK (pg2)

Judges

Vote YES on all judges.

Your ballot layout may vary based on the specific items you need to vote on.

Ballot Measures

Scan the QR Code for detailed info on all Ballot Measures.



SECTION TWO NONPARTISAN BALLOT	
JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?	
Retain: Division 58 SCHWARTZ, ARYEH D.	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 13 VIOLA, DANIELLE J.	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 07 WARNER, RANDALL H.	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 02 WELTY, JOSEPH C	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 34 WHITEHEAD, ROY "CHUCK"	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 85 WILLIAMS, PAULA	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 84 WINGARD, WILLIAM R.	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 52 WOO, CASSIE BRAY	YES ☒
	NO ☐
Retain: Division 77 ZABOR, MELISSA	YES ☒
	NO ☐
STATE	
PROPOSITION 141	
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO TAXATION	
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to prohibit: (1) taxes or fees based on motor vehicle miles traveled; and (2) laws or rules that monitor or limit motor vehicle miles traveled without consent. These prohibitions do not apply in certain instances to interstate commercial vehicles or to vehicles owned by the state or local governments.	
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current constitutional language related to taxation.	
YES ☒	
NO ☐	
PROPOSITION 142	
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE PROHIBITION OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT AND DISCRIMINATION	
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution's current prohibition against preferential treatment or discrimination by the state based on race, ethnicity, or other classes, to specifically prohibit: (1) compelling individuals to support preferential treatment or discrimination based on race or ethnicity as a condition of education, employment, or contract opportunities; (2) spending public money on positions in public education responsible for promoting preferential treatment or discrimination based on race or ethnicity; and (3) implementing disciplinary practices that treat students or employees differently based on race or ethnicity. Prohibited conduct includes requiring or asking an applicant, employee, student, or contractor to provide a statement in support of race-based diversity, equity, and inclusion beyond upholding the federal Equal Protection Clause or asking such a person to discuss the person's race or ethnicity or experience with others' race or ethnicity. The amendment contains certain exceptions for health services, legal, and other reasons.	
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current prohibition in the Arizona Constitution against preferential treatment or discrimination by the state based on race, ethnicity, or other classes.	
YES ☒	
NO ☐	
PROPOSITION 144	
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO ELECTION REQUIREMENTS	
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to: (1) require voters to show proof of identity when voting in each election, including when voting by mail; (2) changing existing proof of identity requirements to limit acceptable documentation to government-issued ID; (3) require election officials to provide all voters the option to have their ballot tabulated at their voting location on election day; (4) prohibit foreign nationals from contributing or spending to influence elections in Arizona and prohibit anyone from knowingly accepting such contributions, similar to prohibitions that already exist in federal law; (5) create a new standard for judicial review of election laws under the Arizona Constitution, which may make it harder to challenge election laws; and (6) require the legislature to appropriate money necessary to implement these provisions.	
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining current constitutional provisions governing elections.	
YES ☒	
NO ☐	
COUNTY	
PROPOSITION 413	
PROPOSITION RELATING TO TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX FOR JAIL FACILITIES	
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of approving a sales tax of one-fifth of one cent (\$0.002) for county jail facilities and programs, the same rate as the current tax that is expiring.	
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of rejecting a sales tax of one-fifth of one cent (\$0.002) for jail facilities and programs.	
YES ☒	
NO ☐	

Notice: Pursuant to Proposition 105 (1998), these measures cannot be changed in the future if approved on the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the members of each house of the legislature and if the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, by an initiative petition or by referring the change to the ballot.

PROPOSITION 316

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO MUNICIPAL TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of: (1) retroactively prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries from July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2027; and (2) after that time, prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries without voter approval and limiting any new or increased tax to a maximum rate of 2%.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to local government taxation.

YES☒

NO☐

PROPOSITION 317

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of: (1) declaring drug cartels as terrorist organizations and directing the Arizona Department of Homeland Security to do everything in its authority to address threats posed by drug cartels; (2) defining "drug cartel" to mean an ongoing formal or informal association of persons engaged in human smuggling, drug trafficking, or any act defined as terrorism under Arizona law; and (3) clarifying that this measure does not support an alien's asylum claim.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to terrorism and the Arizona Department of Homeland Security.

YES☒

NO☐

PROPOSITION 318

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO STUDENT ATHLETICS

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring private schools, public schools, and athletic associations to designate every athletic team as male, female, or coed/mixed based on sex and to prevent males from joining female teams; existing law imposes this requirement only on public schools and those competing against them; (2) prohibiting schools and athletic associations from authorizing anyone to use private spaces (such as restrooms) not designated for that individual's sex; (3) defining "sex" as an individual's biological status as male or female as recorded at birth on an original birth certificate; and (4) authorizing athletes to sue schools and athletic associations that knowingly violate this law.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to student athletics.

YES☒

NO☐

PROPOSITION 319

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PHOTO ENFORCEMENT SYSTEMS

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of: (1) prohibiting state agencies and local governments from using photo enforcement systems to identify violators of traffic laws unless the agency or government contracts to use those systems by December 31, 2026; and (2) requiring local governments to obtain voter approval of existing photo enforcement systems at the next regular general election and every 10 years afterward to continue using photo enforcement systems.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing photo enforcement systems.

YES☒

NO☐

PROPOSITION 320

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO SCHOOL DISTRICT BUDGETS

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring school districts with 7,500 or more students in any county or that operate in a county with 500,000 or more people to spend at least 60% of their operational spending on direct instructional expenses; (2) requiring the state Auditor General to define "operational spending" and "direct instructional expenses"; (3) requiring non-compliant school districts to gradually adjust their spending each year until they are in compliance; and (4) requiring the state Department of Education to reduce funding from the state Classroom Site Fund by 25% each year for non-compliant districts, subject to limited waivers that the state Superintendent of Public Instruction may authorize.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing school district budgets.

YES☒

NO☐

VOTE BOTH SIDES